(*l*) What is the total extent of land under the channels on which crops were raised?

(*m*) What is the total extent of lands on which crops were not raised on account of insufficient supply of water?

(*n*) What were the different kinds of crops raised in each S. No. in each year under these channels?

(*o*) At what rate Government collected assessment in each year under these channels and what is the concession shown by Government in regard to lands on which there were no crops?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, KRISHNARAJASAGARA AND ELECTRICAL DEPARTMENTS.—

*A.*—39. (*a*) 4,550 acres.

(*b*) Practically the whole extent.

(*c*) Yes, except unawarded lands.

(*d*) 1,580 acres nearly.

(*e*) Rs. 1,19,432.

(*f*) Rs. 4,52,954.

(*g*) Water is given to the whole area irrespective of the consideration whether contribution has been paid up or in arrears.

(*h*) Insufficiency has been complained of at the tail ends beyond the railway crossing.

(*i*) Yes.

(*j*) Majjigepura, Belagula, Hosahalli, Palhalli, Lakshmipura and Nagenahalli. It is not possible to give the number and date of all petitions.

(*k*) The staff have tried to give water in all cases, but the tail end lands may not have got as much as the raiyats wanted to use.

(*l*) 4,110 acres last year.

(*m*) 54 acres nearly.

(*n*) Paddy is the only crop cultivated.

(*o*) Rs. 7-8-0. Water rate and dry assessment is reported to have been recovered on all lands except those on which no crops were raised on account of inadequate supply. Further definite information has been called for, and will be furnished to the member when received.

MR. K. NAGANNA (Yelandur Taluk).—

*Q.*—41. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Is the fact of the construction of an anicut

across the Suvernavati river to secure regular feeding of water to Amble, Yelandur, Yeriyur and Maddur tanks, mentioned in G.O. No. 233-35, dated 16th February 1896 ?

(*b*) Has the work been carried out as mentioned therein?

(*c*) Is it true that materials were collected ten years ago for the construction of the anicut?

(*d*) Has acreage contribution been recovered with the assurance of converting dry lands into wet?

(*e*) What is the extent of land in each village converted into wet?

(*f*) What is the amount of contribution recovered on account of these lands in each village?

(*g*) Is the Government aware of the fact that on account of insufficient supply of water to the existing wet lands as well as new wet lands, the raiyats are impoverished?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

*A.*—41. (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The anicut at Ganiganur has been raised and Maddur tank arranged to be fed from this anicut.

(*c*) Some stones were collected.

(*d*) Acreage contribution was promised and in some cases recoveries have been made.

(*e*) Information is not readily available.

(*f*) Yelandur, Rs. 547-13-4; Maddur. Rs. 1,904-9-3; Yeriyur, Nil; Amble, Rs. 4,219-15-11.

(*g*) No.

MR. V. BANDAYYA (Nanjangud Taluk).—

*Q.*—42. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) What is the total income from 1920 to 1929-30 (yearwar) of all the Civil Courts in the State including Process Department and what is the balance after deducting expenditure ?

(*b*) When and why was the value of stamps for suits and processes raised ?

(*c*) Was the change permanent or temporary when the value was raised ?

(*d*) Did not the Government express their intentions at the Legislative Council meeting of June 1927 (see page